	Case 2:07-cr-00134-RSM	Document 8	Filed 04/12/07	Page 1 of 3
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ACT COLUDE	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
8	AT SEATTLE			
9				
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)		
11	Plaintiff,	CASE	NO. 07-176M	
12	v.			
13	DION BRADY LYKINS,	DETENTION ORDER		
14	Defendant.			
15	Defendant.	<u></u>		
16	Offense charged:			
17	Conspiracy to Distribute Cocaine, in violation of Title 21, U.S.C., Sections			
18	841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A), and 846.			
19	Date of Detention Hearing: April 12, 2007			
20	The Court, having conducted a contested detention hearing pursuant to Title 18			
21	U.S.C. § 3142(f), and based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention			
22	hereafter set forth, finds that no condition or combination of conditions which the defendant			
23	can meet will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety			
24	of any other person and the community. The Government was represented by Adam			
25	Cornell. The defendant was represented by Harvey Chamberlain for Mark Mestel.			
26				
	DETENTION ORDER PAGE -1-			

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

- (1) There is probable cause to believe the defendant committed the drug offense. The maximum penalty is in excess of ten years. There is therefore a rebuttable presumption against the defendant's release based upon both dangerousness and flight risk under Title 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e).
- (2) The defendant was arrested at a location where \$1500.00 and cocaine was found.
- (3) Defendant was captured on a Court-authorized wiretap purchasing cocaine and bragging that he had someone selling cocaine for him.
- (4) Due to the nature of the instant offense and in light of Defendant's criminal history, he is viewed as a risk of danger. The defendant was released from a work release program less than 90 days ago on a state cocaine charge when the alleged offense occurred.

Based upon the foregoing information which is consistent with the recommendation of detention by U.S. Pre-trial Services, it appears that there is no condition or combination of conditions that would reasonably assure future Court appearances and/or the safety of other persons or the community.

## It is therefore ORDERED:

- (l) The defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
- (2) The defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel;
- (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which

the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding; and

(4) The clerk shall direct copies of this order to counsel for the United States, to counsel for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United States Pretrial Services Officer.

DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2007.

MONICA J. BENTON United States Magistrate Judge